Contact: David Aho
DEQ Piedmont Regional Office 4949A Cox Road, Glen Allen VA. 23060 david.aho@deq.virginia.gov; (804) 527-5024



CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PERMIT SITE INSPECTION REPORT - LEVEL 1 (FOCUSED)

Project Name:		Dominion Ash Haul Road and Bridge		Permit Number:					VAR10G662		
Project Address:		500 Coxendale Rd		County/City:					Chesterfield		
Project Operator:		Virginia Electric and Power Co		Operator Telephone:					(804) 273-4545		
Proj	ect Contact:	Pat Lee		Contact Telephone:					(804) 273-4545		
Con	tact E-Mail:	pat.lee@dom.com		Qualified Personnel (QP):							
Insp	ector:	David Aho DCA 0138		Weather (Wet/Dry/Rain):			/Rai	n):	Dry		
Disturbed Acreage: 375 Ins				Inspection Date & Time:					04/15/2016, 10 am		
Linear Project: Yes No Annual Stands. & Specs: Yes						o	٧	SMP	Authority: 🗵 Locality 🔲 DEQ		
Stag	e of Constructio	n:									
	☐ Initial Clearing & Grading ☐ Rough Grading ☐ Building Construction ☐ Final Grading										
Construction of SWM Facilities Final Stabilization No							otice of Termination				
Natı	re of Project:	_							Re-Inspection:		
	Public 🗵	Private State	☐ Federal	Otl	her				☐ Yes ⊠No		
	cc	VERAGE & POSTING	REQUIREMENTS		Yes	No	N/A	F	Reviewed during re-inspection? ⊠Yes ∐No Comments/Description		
1	Construction site (9VAC25-870-31	has permit coverage?(\ [0]	√a. Code §62.1- 44.1	5:34.A)	×						
2		tice of coverage letter is p f the construction activity?		near the	×						
3		ation of the SWPPP is po nformation for public acce II D.2 & 3)			×						
SWPPP AVAILABILITY AND CONTENTS					Yes	No	N/A	R	Reviewed during re-inspection? ⊠Yes ∐No Comments/Description		
4		on-site or made available OVAC25-870-54.G)	during the inspection	? (CGP	×						
5	The SWPPP cor (CGP Part II A.1	ntains a signed copy of the	e registration stateme	ent?	×			Poste	red		
6		ludes, upon receipt, a cop BP? (CGP Part II A.1.b &		erage	×						
7	The SWPPP cor "Qualified Persor	ntains the name, phone nunnel" conducting inspection	imber and qualifications? (CGP Part II A.6	ons of (i)	×						
8		ntains an approved erosio I.B)(CGP Part II.A.2)	n and sediment contr	ol plan?	×						
9	existing construc	itains an approved storm ition site has a stormwate Part II.A.3) Technical Cri	r management plan?	lan or an (9VAC25-	×						
10	The SWPPP cor 54.D)(CGP Part	itains a pollution prevention II.A.4)	on plan? (9VAC25-87	70-		×			plete PPP not found in SWPPP near gate; ted in construction trailer		

Contact:

David Aho DEQ Piedmont Regional Office 4949A Cox Road, Glen Allen VA. 23060 david.aho@deq.virginia.gov; (804) 527-5024



	ESC AND SWM CONTROL MEASURES	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewed during re-inspection? ⊠Yes □No Comments/Description		
11	Sediment trapping measures are installed as a first step in the land disturbing activity? (9VAC25-840-40.4)	×					
12	Stabilization applied and/or established in accordance with CGP and ESC Minimum Standards? (9VAC25-840-40(1,2,3,5,7, and 15)) (9VAC25-880-60) (CGP Part I F.1(a)) (CGP Part II A.2.c.8) (CGP Part II A.5.b.1)	×					
13	Operable storm sewer inlets are protected from sediment laden water? (9VAC25-840-40.10)			×			
14	Operational stormwater conveyance channels or pipes have adequate outlet protection and channel lining? (9VAC25-840-40.11)	×					
15	Transport of sediment onto paved surfaces is minimized? (9VAC25-840- 40.17)	×					
16	For Notice of Termination, permanent SWM control measures included in the SWPPP are in place? (9VAC25-880-60) (CGP Part I F.1(a))			×			
	DOLLUTION PREVENTION PRACTICES	Vas	No	AI/A	Device and during so increasing MVes The		
	POLLUTION PREVENTION PRACTICES	res	No	N/A	Reviewed during re-inspection? ⊠Yes □No Comments/Description		
17	Have discharges of spilled and leaked fuels and chemicals from vehicle fueling and maintenance activities been prevented, if applicable? (CGP Part IIA.4 (e)(2))	×					
18	Have discharges of soaps, solvents, detergents, and washwater from construction materials, including the clean-up of stucco, paint, form release oils, and curing compounds been prevented, if applicable? (CGP Part IIA.4(e)(3))			×			
19	Is concrete washwater directed into a leak-proof container or leak-proof settling basin? (CGP Part IIA.4(e)(5))	×					
					Request for Corrective Action attached:		
	SITE EVALUATION AND AGENCY RECOMMENDATION	Yes	No	N/A	☐ Yes⊠ No Comments/Description		
20	Are measures in place that have prevented or minimized actual or potential impacts occurring at the site or along the perimeter and at outfall locations?	×			Controls in place with no off-site discharge observed.		
21	VA DEQ's Risk Based Inspection Strategy has been satisfied. No local VSMP Authority or comprehensive DEQ re-inspection is required at this time.	×			Referred to Locality: ☑ Yes ☐ No This was a joint inspection with Chesterfield County.		
22	Site inspection results are such that immediate or subsequent recommendation for issuance of a Warning Letter or Notice of Violation is NOT required.	×			No action required.		
	Be advised that this inspection is focused on portions of the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements only. The purpose of the inspection is to assess the general condition and compliance level of the construction site and to evaluate the need for a more comprehensive Inspection by DEQ or the local VSMP,						

as applicable, or the presence of actual or potential adverse impacts. The inspector's report is limited to the day, time, and specified statutory and regulatory requirements identified in the Report and Request for Corrective Action, if attached. Although some statutory or regulatory components may not be covered by this inspection report your responsibilities as the owner/operator are to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

Inspector Signature: W. Uw Date: 04/15/2016